The Information Science Journal,
An Open Access Peer-Reviewed Journal

Artificial Intelligence Applications in Modern Research and Digital Systems

Ananya R. Kapoor[1,2,#], Marcus T. Lindqvist[1,#], Wei-Chen Huang[1,2], Sofia Petrova[1], Daniel N. Okafor[1,2,*]

[1]Department of Computational Science and Digital Systems, Meridian Institute of Technology, Ashford, United Kingdom;

[2]Centre for Applied Research Informatics, Meridian Institute of Technology, Ashford, United Kingdom;

Correspondence to: Dr. Daniel N. Okafor, Department of Computational Science and Digital Systems, Meridian Institute of Technology, Ashford, United Kingdom; Email: d.okafor@meridianit.example.edu

ABSTRACT

Artificial intelligence (AI) has moved from a peripheral computational tool to a central component of modern research infrastructure and digital systems. This review synthesizes evidence from laboratory automation, enterprise knowledge management, cybersecurity, and simulation environments to characterize how AI techniques are currently deployed, the efficiency gains they produce, and the barriers that continue to limit adoption. Drawing on a structured survey of 214 research and digital-operations teams across six sectors, the analysis shows that AI-augmented workflows reduced median task completion time by 39.0% and increased data processing throughput by over 150%, while first-pass error rates fell by nearly 42%. Retrieval-augmented generation and predictive machine learning were the most broadly adopted techniques, whereas reinforcement-learning-based digital twins remained comparatively early-stage due to compute cost. The findings indicate that AI adoption in research and digital systems is uneven across the technology stack, with governance, data heterogeneity, and interpretability representing the dominant constraints on further scaling.

Keywords

Artificial intelligence · Digital systems · Machine learning · Research automation · Retrieval-augmented generation

INTRODUCTION

The integration of artificial intelligence into research practice and digital infrastructure has accelerated markedly over the past decade, driven by advances in large language models (LLMs), predictive analytics, and automated data pipelines. Research organizations increasingly rely on AI not only to accelerate discrete tasks such as literature synthesis or anomaly detection, but to restructure entire workflows around continuous, model-assisted decision-making.

Modern digital systems span a wide range of environments, from laboratory information management systems to enterprise knowledge platforms and cybersecurity operations centers. Each of these environments imposes distinct constraints on how AI can be deployed: laboratory settings prioritize reproducibility and provenance, enterprise systems prioritize governance and access control, and security operations prioritize low false-positive rates under adversarial conditions. Understanding how AI techniques perform across these varied contexts is therefore essential for organizations planning further investment.

Despite widespread interest, empirical accounts of AI adoption that quantify efficiency gains alongside persistent barriers remain relatively scarce. Much of the existing literature describes individual case studies or vendor-reported outcomes rather than cross-sectoral, independently measured comparisons. The purpose of this study was to characterize current patterns of AI

© 2026 The Information Science Journal

adoption across research and digital-systems environments, to quantify associated efficiency and quality outcomes, and to identify the barriers most frequently cited by practitioners as limiting further scaling.

METHODS

Study design and sample

This study used a structured, cross-sectional survey administered to 214 research and digital-operations teams across six sectors: academic research, laboratory sciences, enterprise IT, financial technology, cybersecurity, and industrial simulation. Teams were recruited through professional networks and institutional partners between February and June 2026. Each participating team completed a structured questionnaire covering current AI tool usage, workflow metrics before and after AI adoption, and qualitative barrier reporting.

Metrics collected

For each team, the survey captured: (1) the primary AI technique in active use, categorized according to a standard taxonomy (large language model summarization, predictive machine learning, reinforcement learning, retrieval-augmented generation, and unsupervised anomaly detection); (2) median task completion time before and after AI integration; (3) data processing throughput; (4) self-reported researcher or operator satisfaction on a ten-point scale; and (5) first-pass output error rate, defined as the proportion of AI-assisted outputs requiring substantive human correction before use.

Analysis

Descriptive statistics were computed for adoption rate and efficiency gain within each application domain. Paired before-and-after comparisons were used to estimate the relative change in workflow metrics attributable to AI integration. Barriers to adoption were coded from open-text responses into a fixed set of categories and ranked by frequency within each domain.

RESULTS

Adoption patterns across domains

Adoption of AI techniques varied considerably by application domain (Table 1). Literature review synthesis using LLM-based summarization showed the highest adoption rate at 71.4%, followed by retrieval-augmented generation in enterprise knowledge systems at 62.7% and predictive machine learning in laboratory data pipelines at 58.9%. Reinforcement-learning-based digital twin simulation showed the lowest adoption rate at 34.2%, consistent with its comparatively early maturity level and higher associated compute cost.

Application Domain	Core AI Technique	Adoption Rate (%)	Avg. Efficiency Gain (%)	Primary Barrier	Maturity Level
Literature Review Synthesis	LLM Summarization	71.4	38.2	Citation accuracy	Mature
Laboratory Data Pipelines	Predictive ML Models	58.9	29.7	Data heterogeneity	Growing
Digital Twin Simulation	Reinforcement Learning	34.2	22.5	Compute cost	Emerging
Enterprise	Retrieval-	62.7	31.4	Governance &	Growing

© 2026 The Information Science Journal

Knowledge Systems	Augmented Generation			access control	
Anomaly Detection (Security)	Unsupervised Learning	49.6	26.8	False-positive rate	Mature

Table 1: Adoption and efficiency outcomes of AI techniques across research and digital-systems domains.

Workflow efficiency outcomes

Across all domains, teams using AI-augmented workflows reported a median task completion time reduction from 14.6 to 8.9 hours (-39.0%) and an increase in data processing throughput from 1,240 to 3,110 records per hour (+150.8%) (Table 2). Reported satisfaction rose from a mean of 6.1 to 7.8 out of 10, and first-pass error rates fell from 9.3% to 5.4%, a relative reduction of 41.9%.

Metric	Baseline (Pre-AI Workflow)	AI-Augmented Workflow	Relative Change
Median task completion time (h)	14.6	8.9	-39.0%
Data processing throughput (records/h)	1,240	3,110	+150.8%
Reported researcher satisfaction (1-10)	6.1	7.8	+27.9%
Error rate in first-pass output (%)	9.3	5.4	-41.9%

Table 2: Workflow metrics before and after AI integration, aggregated across all surveyed domains.

Barriers to adoption

The most frequently cited barrier differed by domain. Citation accuracy and hallucination risk were the dominant concern for literature synthesis teams, while data heterogeneity was the leading barrier for laboratory pipelines. Enterprise knowledge system teams most often cited governance and access-control complexity, and cybersecurity teams cited false-positive rate management as the primary constraint on scaling AI-based anomaly detection. Compute cost was the leading barrier for reinforcement-learning-based simulation, reflecting the resource intensity of training and maintaining digital twin models at scale.

DISCUSSION

These findings indicate that AI adoption across research and digital systems is neither uniform nor solely a function of technical readiness. Techniques with lower implementation complexity and more mature tooling, such as LLM-based summarization and retrieval-augmented generation, have achieved substantially higher adoption than reinforcement-learning-based approaches, which remain constrained by compute cost and integration complexity. This pattern suggests that near-term gains in research productivity are more likely to come from incremental augmentation of existing workflows than from wholesale replacement of research processes with autonomous AI systems.

The magnitude of the observed efficiency gains, particularly the 150.8% increase in data processing throughput, is consistent with prior reports that AI-assisted pipelines

© 2026 The Information Science Journal

disproportionately benefit high-volume, repetitive data-handling tasks. At the same time, the persistence of governance and interpretability concerns as leading barriers across multiple domains suggests that further scaling will depend as much on organizational and regulatory readiness as on model performance. Teams operating in more heavily regulated environments, such as enterprise knowledge systems and cybersecurity, reported barriers rooted in oversight and accountability rather than raw model capability, indicating that investment in governance frameworks may yield efficiency gains comparable to investment in model quality itself.

This study has several limitations. The sample, while cross-sectoral, relied on self-reported metrics rather than independently audited system logs, which may introduce reporting bias. The six-month observation window also limits conclusions about longer-term sustainability of the observed gains. Future work should incorporate longitudinal, system-verified metrics and expand coverage to additional sectors such as healthcare delivery and public administration, where governance constraints on AI deployment are particularly stringent.

CONCLUSION

AI techniques are now embedded across a broad range of research and digital-systems workflows, with retrieval-augmented generation and predictive machine learning showing the most mature adoption. AI-augmented workflows in this sample were associated with substantial reductions in task completion time and error rates alongside large gains in throughput. However, adoption remains uneven, and governance, data heterogeneity, and compute cost continue to constrain scaling in specific domains. Continued progress will likely depend on parallel investment in model capability and in the organizational frameworks needed to deploy AI systems responsibly at scale.

DECLARATIONS

Funding

This study was supported by the Meridian Institute of Technology Digital Systems Research Fund [MIT-DSR-2026-014] and the Ashford Regional Innovation Programme [ARIP-0972].

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability Statement

Aggregated survey data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization, Okafor D.N. and Kapoor A.R.; methodology, Lindqvist M.T.; formal analysis, Huang W.C.; investigation, Petrova S.; writing—original draft, Kapoor A.R.; writing—review and editing, Okafor D.N. and Lindqvist M.T.; supervision, Okafor D.N. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Kapoor A.R., Lindqvist M.T. Machine learning in research operations: a decade in review. J Digit Syst Res. 2025;12(3):112-130.

© 2026 The Information Science Journal

2. Huang W.C., Okafor D.N. Retrieval-augmented generation for enterprise knowledge management. Ann Comput Sci. 2025;8(2):45-61.

3. Petrova S., Huang W.C. Governance frameworks for AI-assisted decision systems. Digit Policy Rev. 2024;6(1):22-39.

4. Lindqvist M.T., Kapoor A.R. Reinforcement learning for industrial digital twins: a survey. Comput Ind Syst. 2025;19(4):301-318.

5. Okafor D.N., Petrova S. Anomaly detection in cybersecurity operations: methods and limitations. Sec Inform J. 2024;11(2):88-104.

© 2026 The Information Science Journal